

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

LOUIS EADS,

Defendant.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

8:07CR148

ORDER

This matter is before the court on the motion to continue by defendant Louis Eads (Eads) (Filing No. 28). Eads seeks a continuance of the trial of this matter which is scheduled for November 26, 2007. Eads has submitted an affidavit wherein he represents that he consents to the motion and acknowledges he understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act (Filing No. 30). Upon consideration, the motion will be granted.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. Eads's motion to continue trial (Filing No. 28) is granted.
2. Trial of this matter is re-scheduled for **January 2, 2008**, before Chief Judge Joseph F. Bataillon and a jury. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., the time between **November 20, 2007 and January 2, 2008**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason that defendants' counsel require additional time to adequately prepare the case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B).

DATED this 20th day of November, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken
United States Magistrate Judge